



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,160	12/29/2000	Merle L. Miller	2069.008400	8960	
23720 75	590 12/10/2003		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F		
HOUSTON, T	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2644	^	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	<u>ر</u> م	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N.			C C			
	•	App	lication No.	Applicant	t(s)			
		09/7	752,160	MILLER E	ET AL.			
	Office Action Summar	y Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Jeffe	erey F. Harold	2644				
	The MAILING DATE of this com			et with the correspond	ence address			
Period fo A SH	or Reply Ortened Statutory Peric	DD FOR REPLY IS S	ET TO EXPIRE	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
- External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMN nsions of time may be available under the proving SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this experiod for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximate to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three moded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a communication. In this init (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause to this after the mailing date of	the statutory minimum and will expire SIX (the application to become	of thirty (30) days will be considered the considered the considered the constant of the const	te of this communication. § 133).			
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>[2</u> 2 <u>6</u> 0.0						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
1	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12-14,16,17,19,20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
l <u>—</u>	Claim(s) <u>8,11,15,18 and 21</u> is/a							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or elec	tion requiremer	t.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to I	by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a) accepted	or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any	•		•	` '			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	•	•		` '			
1	The oath or declaration is object	•	er. Note the atta	ached Office Action or	form PTO-152.			
l <u> </u>	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a o ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None		ity under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the pri		e been received	L				
	2. Certified copies of the pri	•						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 5	application from the Inter See the attached detailed Office	•	· · · ·					
13)∏ <i>A</i> s	Acknowledgment is made of a claince a specific reference was incommon 7 CFR 1.78.	aim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro				
) ☐ The translation of the foreig	n language provision	nal application h	as been received.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a classeference was included in the first							
Attachmen	t(s)							
l	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413) P	aper No(s).			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			e of Informal Patent Applica				
U.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		Office Action S	ummary		Part of Paper No. 3			



Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "the signal" lacks antecedent bases, for the purpose of the rejection recited below the examiner assumes the limitation to be "the digital signal". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, 10,12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhou et al (United States Patent 5,878,133), hereinafter referenced as Zhou.

Regarding **claim 1**, Zhou discloses a digital direct current feed control for a communication system. In addition, Zhou discloses a process for DC feed control for a line card, consisting of:

using an analog-to-digital converter (52) for processing voice signals, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;

receiving a signal from a subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;



Art Unit: 2644

converting the signal to a digital signal using the analog-to-digital converter utilized for processing voice signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figure 1;

adjusting the digital control circuit (50), which reads on claimed "DC feed control", of line card based on the digital signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 27-38 and exhibited in figure 1.

Regarding **claim 2**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Zhou discloses wherein adjusting the digital control circuit (50) includes:

integrating the digital signal, as disclosed at column 6, lines 56-65 and exhibited in figures 1-3;

adjusting a voltage provided to the subscriber line based on the integrated signal, as disclosed at column 6, line 47-54; column 6 line 61 through column 8, line 52 and exhibited figures 1-3;

Regarding **claim 3**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2) in addition Zhou discloses wherein the digital signal is inherently a DC signal, since the signal is received from the battery feed circuit (38) as, disclosed at column 6, lines 9-38 and exhibited in figures 1-3.

Regarding **claim 4**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2) in addition Zhou discloses wherein the digital signal is representative of a current flowing from the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 23-38 and exhibited in figures 1-3.



Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 5**, Zhou discloses a process for DC feed control for a line card, consisting of:

determining if the line card is operating in a current limit region of a DC feed curve, as disclosed at column 6, lines 38-54 and exhibited in figures 2 and 3;

synthesizing a curve in the current limit region, as disclosed at column 5, line 54 through column 6, line 8 and exhibited in figure 2;

determining the loop voltage based on the synthesis curve, as disclosed at column 6, lines 9-21 and exhibited in figure 2;

applying the loop voltage to the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, lines 9-38 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 6**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5), in addition Zhou discloses a process wherein determining if the line card is operating in the current limit region includes:

generating a current value proportional to a loop current flowing from the subscriber line, as disclosed at column 6, line 9-38 and exhibited in figure 3;

determining if the line card is operating in the current limit region of the DC feed curve in response to generating the current value, as disclosed at column 6, line 9-64 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 7**, Zhou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 6), in addition Zhou discloses wherein determining if the lie card is operating in the current limit region of the DC feed curve in response to generating the current value

Art Unit: 2644

includes determining if the loop current is greater than the first preselected value as disclosed at column 6, line 46 though column 8, line 51 and exhibited in figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23, Zhou discloses everything claimed. In addition claims 9, 10,12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23 are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 1-7.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8, 11, 15, 18 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is (703) 306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4800.

JFH

December 8, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER